



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/800,509	03/08/2001	Ajay P. Sravanapudi	P 274079 5104	
7590 06/14/2005			EXAMINER	
JOHNATHAN ABERMAN			ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH	
FISH & RICH	ARDSON P.C.		<u></u>	
1425 K STREET, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1100			2655	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			DATE MAILED: 06/14/200:	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/800,509	SRAVANAPUDI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel D Abebe	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,-	action is non-final.					
· ——	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-45 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14-18,28-35 and 39-41 is/are allowed 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9-13,19-27,36-38 and 42-45 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. I. e rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application of the second of the second	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/26/03. 		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/800,509

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 9-13, 19-26, 36-38 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Raman (5,748,186).

As to claim 1, Raman teaches a system (100) for multi-modal information service, comprising:

A multi-modal information service presenter (140) for converting and presenting information received in source mode into a destination mode;

An information user interface (150);

Storage means for storing the retrieved information (200) and

An information source separate from the system (110).

As to claims 2-5, Raman teaches where the user terminal includes a computer and, where the networks include internet and internet servers also a multi-modal platform (Fig.1; Col.3, lines 30-35)

Claims 6, 7, 9-11, 19-23, 36-38 and 42-45 are analogous to the claims addressed above and are rejected for the foregoing reasons by Raman.

As to claims 12 and 24, Raman teaches a multi-modal information service provider (100), for conducting a interactive voice dialogue with a user, comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/800,509

Art Unit: 2655

Receiving speech dialogue;

Processing the speech to extract information regarding user' request and modality/contact condition and providing the user with the information according to the expression format as well as adjusting the contact condition (Fig.1).

As to claims 13 and 25-26, Raman teaches an IVR system comprising speech recognition and response system (Fig.1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raman.

Regarding claim 27, Official Notice is taken that Voice extensible markup language are well known in the art and would be obvious in Nagai for providing the speech application.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-18, 28-35 and 39-41 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-13, 19-26, 36-38 and 42-45 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,509

Art Unit: 2655

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D Abebe whose telephone number is 703-308-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Abebe Primary Examiner A.U 2655

11an Alm

June 7, 2005